ISO as the new utility why are the states deferring?

Richard O'Neill Chief Economic Advisor Office of Markets, Tariffs and Rates Federal Energy Regulation Commission richard.oneill@ferc.gov

ISO as the New Utility Harvard Electricity Policy Group San Diego, CA March 1, 2004 Views expressed are not necessarily thos Commission

Cost-of-Service Nostalgia

Cost-of-Service is Sam Insull's legacy COS is a complex adjustable mortgage SPre-open access Stranded Costs: \$200 billi ^ONuclear value: < 15% of book ¹ SFossil value: 200% of book; over-depreciated? Cost-of-capital is lower, but capital is wasted **SIOU** becomes a cash cow for risky investments ^OAffiliate abuse is rampant Consumer assumes almost all the risks ^OHow do you calculate a rate for a new IPP? [®]How do you ration capacity?

History of competitive electricity markets



Research and Development (1978-1998):
 PURPA (1978)
 Joskow and Schmalensee (1983)
 Schweppe et al (1988)
 Hogan (1992, ...)

"Almost every generally accepted view was once deemed eccentric or heretical."

> Everett Mendelson, Stephen Jay Gould, Gerald Holton and others to the Supreme Court

First Adapters (late 1995-2003):
 Market-based rates
 Order 888 and ISOs
 CALISO, PJM, NYISO, ISONE
 California crisis, Enron collapse and irrational exuberance
 S-curve(2003-?): SMD, WMD, transmission rights
 Steady-State: ? New Federal-state equilibrium

Transitioning from planning and dispatch to auction, incentive and market power mitigation models

Electric Restructuring requires Institutional change on all levels

Culture: religion, customs, and traditions Change interval: decade to century or more XO Theology: market v. cost-of-service regulation develop ethical practices GFormal rules: laws (FPA 35; PURPA 78; EPAct 92; 04?) □change interval: decade + What is legal under the FPA? 'well-functioning market' DPlay of the game: regulations (888, RTO, SMD, WMD) Change interval: one to ten years □ Market-based rates; hub and spoke, SMA, AMP Resource allocation: markets □change interval: real time Ducket shops, ISOs, Enron OnLine, SMD



Characteristics Dindependence Dappropriate regional parallel path flows configuration Doperational authority **Ushort-term** reliability

Functions Eltariff adm and design **Congestion** management **Mancillary** services supplier **Wreal-time balancing market** Defficient rate designs **MInformation**: OASIS/TTC/ATC market monitoring Eplanning and expansion 6 Jopen architecture

Independent board Facilitate stakeholder process Market operator; not market participant Creditworthiness: no vertical demand curves Protects property rights Demand side bidding Capacity options should rest on LSEs Information system operator Flexibility and options: market/engineering/ software interface is still evolving

Refs must be independent

Umpire fields a ground ball because the first baseman should have but didn't field it
 Ref makes a tackle after player misses a tackle he should have made
 Ref stops a ball from going out of bounds because it was a bad pass

"perennial gale of creative destruction" Schumpeter, 1942

Debunking Myths and Shibboleths (π =22/7 or 3.1415...)



• All electric systems have central dispatch.

- The question is how to do it and coordinate the seams.
- We are acting on lessons from failed (weeds) and successful (flowers) real experience
- SMD does not cure cancer, but there are no known technical or economic impediments to SMD
- Market power, casino and free rider issues must be addressed
- Significant State and Regional variations: RAR, CRR, access fees, DM, ...

The law is Not optional



The 'anything goes' era ended in 2001
The core mission
Prevent undue discrimination
Sestablish just and reasonable rates

to in both transmission and wholesales Not deregulation; liberalization and restructuring Balance between market power and confiscation >Do we know it when you see it? when to intervene? > Mitigation and strategic behavior are error prone Price set in a well-functioning market. DC Circuit SMD/WMD is FERC's proposal to carry ouv responsibility

Critical when market is tight

good market design

Need SMD market to be compatible with off-SMD markets efficient and competitive with truthful bidding incentives and rules for truthful bidding • avoid excessive mitigation • demand curve for reserves Settlements: revenue adequacy/payments cover bid costs Don't favor large players Deal with free riders: reservation bids good information (monitoring) systems • ex-ante for expectations real-time for mitigation ex-post for future decisions

Externality and competition

- An externality: whenever consumer wellbeing or a firm's production possibilities
 - are directly affected by the actions of another market participant (MWG).
- This definition is broad
- Acceptable in competition: business stealing and 'creative destruction'
- Unacceptable in competition : involuntary takings: eminent domain; excessive market power; dirty air and water; uncompensated loop flow

Problems with internalizing externalities



Beneficiaries pay and get property rights, but how much? non-beneficiaries should not pay \Rightarrow Game: Beneficiaries get others to pay Arguments for 'public good' treatment Administrative efficiency: too hard to do right Everyone benefits eventually ⇒Cost-benefit study (politics in drag) "Everything should be made as simple as

Issue: market response time Problem: Too slow, vertical demand curve Sexternality: system collapse or blackout Current Approach: reserves (inventory) Panic Approach: ISO buys reserves Suture Approach: faster, maybe real-time, response (just-in-time)





Issue: entry and exit

Problem: entry

- Sexternality: time to enter
- Current Approach: hope
- >Panic Approach: get ISO to buy
- Seture Approach: forward contracts
- Problem: exit
- Sexternality: time for new entry
- Current Approach: vague rules
- Panic Approach: forbid it
- Suture Approach: sufficient notice time

Issue: Withholding

Problem: market power leading to inefficiencies and inequities

- Sexternality: non-competitive prices
- Current Approach: AMP, RMR
- Panic Approaches: let'r rip and over mitigate

Future: resource adequacy as a hedge, dynamic mitigation, negotiated withholding, exit strategy Issue: Transmission rights

Problem: PTP options and network service are not mapable into FTR obligations Current Approach: vague rules for existing contracts; short-term Ftr obligation and questionable LT rights Should native load get the residual? Panic Approach: force fit Suture Approach: ftr options, flowgates >Dispatchable flowgates and Admittance pricing (Gribik)? 17

State responsibility: welfare of its citizens



- resource adequacy of the state's citizens
 - reliability POLR answers to the state
 - Opt in and out
- Can subsidize inside the state, but not outside
- demand response (including curtailment)
- management of transmission rights and risks of native load
 - siting of generation and local distribution
 - Iong-term generation contracts and hedging

Warning Label for Spot Markets Failure to forward contract or submit demand schedules is risky and may be hazardous to your financial health

bilateral market disciplined by spot market Long-term monitored for entry barriers Start the process when entry is possible Demand bidding counts; trust but verify >May need to install curtailment equipment ⇒If you are short in the spot market, you may have to pay a high price ⇒If you are long in the spot market, you may receive a high price 19

THA AIGULE BU

Portable Entitlement Program

©POLR maintains resource adequacy requirements under state/local regulation ©Portable entitlement program (fixed p and q) ©Long-term entitlements includes tx rights ©Attached to the customer ©Auto buy/sell for under/over entitlement in SMD spot Centitlement moves when the customer moves from POLR Choice is real-time meters or regional blackouts Choice should be RTM or real-time curtailment Volatility in Real-time ©Control volatility in bills: moving average option

federal role

interstate markets interstate reliability including curtailment transmission rights market design short-term market design: RTM, DAM, backstop transmission siting Oversight of interstate planning Prevent cross-state subsidies

ISO/RTO role

➡Independent Revenue neutral auctioneer Planner \Rightarrow Group coordinator Takes no market position ⇒Software developer

What is needed for competition? good market design and information ©organizing principle: compatible incentives ©recognition and internalization of externalities ©markets and incentives for reliability Market approaches to replace planning
 ©understand the choices If the market (Coasian dream). NOT markets with market rules (SMD) Administrative rules (TLRs and OFOs) ♦ State socialism



"A new scientific truth does not triumph by convincing its opponents and making them see the light, but rather because its opponents eventually die, and a new generation grows up that is familiar with it."

Max Planck, "Scientific Autobiography and Other Papers"

