

Commercial Incentives and Reliability Rules

Harvard Electricity Policy Group June 3, 2004

Steven T. Naumann - Exelon

Commercial Incentives vs. Reliability

- Reliability has costs
 - Need generation for reactive power, reserves, regulation and load following
 - Need redispatch of generation for network controlability
 - Must observe operating limits
 - Must plan for reliability
- Markets can be compatible with reliability
 - > If there is compensation, they will provide
 - Need reliability over-ride as a last resort

TLR vs. Market-Based Congestion Management

- Transmission Loading Relief
 - TLR is reactive
 - Takes 30 minutes to achieve relief
 - Requested relief is not always achieved requiring more relief – more time operating in excess of Operating Security Limit (OSL)
 - Modeling issues
 - 5% Threshold
 - Gaming can take place
 - Source-sink issues
 - > TLR takes operator attention
 - TLR still is redispatch

TLR vs. Market-Based Congestion Management

- Market-Based Congestion
 - Pro-active
 - Security Constrained Dispatch sends signals to redispatch before OSLs reached
 - Economic choice of market participants
 - Issue of Loop Flows if no agreement
 - TLR still available if no further controlability

TLR vs. Market-Based Congestion Management

- Why Has Market-Based Congestion Not Been Adopted Outside of Eastern RTOs/ISOs?
 - Allocation of Costs
 - Alliant-West Issue in Iowa solution was to reduce 5% threshold to 3% for non-firm prior to curtailing firm transmission service
 - Coordination Between Transmission Providers
 - Becomes an equity issue
 - Can this be done in the absence of markets?

Could A Market-Based Congestion System Have Prevented the August 14 Blackout?

Maybe

- Could only have been better
- With a market, LMP would have increased in southeast Michigan and decreased on southern and central Ohio
- But if ratings are incorrect, the security constrained algorithm would not act correctly until a problem developed

Things that Need Fixing Regulatory Issues (FERC/NERC)

- Allowance of 'regional variations'
 - Regional variations result in 'seizing the gray'
 - Some transmission providers decrement ATCs before acceptance or confirmation
 - Different practices e.g., conditional firm
 - Lack of transparency
- No sanction for not respecting flowgates of another transmission provider
 - Some transmission providers have their own process for including third-party flowgates in its process
- Loop flow issues
 - Some want compensation for loop flow use of the system can lead to protectionism
 - What are study obligations of transmission providers?
- These are needed whether or not market mechanisms are adopted

Issues with Markets That Need To Be Addressed

- Loss of observability
 - No intra-control area tagging
 - No RTO (outside of Texas) is an island
- Need formal coordination agreements
 - Coordination of ATC
 - Information exchange
 - PJM/MISO JOA
 - PJM/MISO/TVA Data Exchange Agreement

What Needs to Be Done Now

- Mandatory Reliability Rules applied to all market participants
 - Sanctions required for enforcement
 - Sanctions may be through market
 - PJM Deficiency Charge for Failure to meet Capacity Requirement
 - PJM Charge for Failure to Have Required Underfrequency Relays
 - All must pay
- Need mandatory coordination between transmission providers – NERC and FERC need to be clear
 - Sanctions against unilateral action refusal to coordinate or using coordination to block actions of others
 - Need problems to be resolved quickly while maintaining due process
 - > Who decides?