

Active Markets and Reactive Policies: Requirements, Rules, Incentives and Business Models for Reactive Power

Harvard Electricity Policy Group December 2, 2004

Steven T. Naumann - Exelon

Sources of Reactive Power

- Transmission
 - Capacitors static
 - Transmission Lines (line charging) static
 - Static Var Systems dynamic
 - > STATCON dynamic
- Generators dynamic
- Synchronous Condensers dynamic

Uses of Reactive Power

- Transmission
 - Inductors static
 - > Transmission Lines (inductance) static
 - Static Var Systems dynamic
- Generators dynamic
- Motors and other load static

Reactive Power Does Not Travel Well

- ▶ Real Power Losses = I²R
- ▶ Reactive Power Losses = I²X
- X is 10-20 times R on a transmission system

Reactive Planning

- Want a mix of static and dynamic resources (no reason to have all dynamic)
- Need to locate reactive resources where needed on the system
- Need both inductive and capacitive control (need to balance at all loads)

Reactive Planning

- Generators, Synchronous Condensers location not flexible, dynamic, best performance at low voltage, cost depends on allocation mechanism
- Static Var System location more flexible, dynamic, low forced outage rates, relatively high costs
- Switched Capacitors flexible location, static, low forced outage rates, relatively low costs

What if Reactive Power is Not Available When Needed?

- Low Voltage may have time to act manual load shedding
- Voltage Collapse may not have time to act undervoltage load shedding
- Not necessarily time for 'TLRs'

General Principles

- Comparability no difference based on ownership
- Performance Based Payments generators paid for services provided
- Fair to load load should not pay for more reactive power than needed to meet reliability needs

Generator Equipment

- Generator (Rotor, Stator)
- Exciter
- Transformer
- Balance of Plant (cooling system, lubrication system)

Payment for Generator Reactive Capability

Default Compensation

- All generators receive cost-based compensation for a 0.95 power factor (subject to actual performance)
- If a non-independent transmission provider compensates its own generation or the generation of an affiliate at a power factor different than 0.95, all generators would be eligible for compensation up to that power factor (subject to actual performance)
- If a generator has an interconnection agreement for a different power factor, the generator receives costbased compensation at the power factor stated in the interconnection agreement (subject to actual performance)
- Compensation for new generation may be limited to competitive least-cost alternative

Payment for Generator Reactive Capability

Additional Compensation

- If independent transmission provider determines that a generator is required to provide reactive power in excess of the requirements of the interconnection agreement (or the minimum of 0.95 power factor), the generator would receive cost-based compensation at that greater level
 - Payments may be capped at alternatives such as SVS
- Adjustments can be made on a seasonal basis such as if a generator agrees to operate with higher cooling capability (e.g., higher hydrogen pressure) for a season providing additional reactive capability
- Need rules for non-independent transmission provider
- > Transmission Provider can determine greater requirement:
 - In advance through planning studies
 - Through actual operation

Performance Standards

- RTOs should promulgate reasonable performance standards together with adjustments to generators revenue requirements for not meeting such standards
- Factors should consider whether generators provide expected reactive power when demanded, generator availability, availability of voltage regulators, results of reactive testing
- Adjustments should not be punitive but should reflect a relationship between payments and service provided