UNREGULATED UTILITY AFFILIATES: IT'S NOT TIME FOR A CHANGE

Harvard Electricity Policy Group Thirtieth Plenary Session The Lodge at Rancho Mirage Palm Springs, California January 30-31, 2003

Thomas L. Blackburn Bruder, Gentile & Marcoux, L.L.P. 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Suite 510 East

Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 Telephone: 202/783-1350

Facsimile: 202/737-9117

E-Mail: tlblackburn@brudergentile.com

REGULATED UTILITIES AND UNREGULATED OPERATIONS

- It is easy to point to spectacular failures in the industry situations in which utilities have been dragged down by the disastrous performance of unregulated affiliates.
- There was far less concern when unregulated businesses were enhancing shareholder value and increasing bond ratings through diversification and high profits.



REGULATED UTILITIES AND REREGULATED OPERATIONS continued

- If the economic downturn had occurred in a regulated environment, utilities would have been stuck with excess generating capacity and ratepayers would have suffered anyway.
- It is important to not be too hasty. Well-planned diversification into unregulated business can be a win-win situation for ratepayers and shareholders.



UTILITY AFFILIATE EXPANSION INTO UNREGULATED ELECTRIC ACTIVITIES CAN BE BENEFICIAL TO CONSUMERS

- Competition at least at the wholesale level is critical to economically efficient utility operations.
- Utilities cross-selling into each others' service territories provide only limited benefits.
 - Incentives are limited because profits are returned to ratepayers.
 - Utilities "compete" only with unneeded energy.



UTILITY AFFILIATE EXPANSION INTO UNREGULATED ELECTRIC ACTIVITIES CAN BE BENEFICIAL TO CONSUMERS

continued

- Entirely independent companies are not making significant inroads in generation or transmission.
- Utility affiliates are the natural choice to enhance competition.



COMPETITION AND DEREGULATION MUST BE "MANAGED" TO PREVENT ABUSE

- Returning to a fully cost-based regime is impossible and unnecessary.
 - Non-utility generation accounts for a significant portion of the nation's total capacity.
- BUT the electric industry will never be fully deregulated.
 - Large generation owners can exercise market power in some instances.
 - Transmission monopolies may remain in some regions for a substantial period.



INTER-AFFILIATE RELATIONSHIPS ARE HEAVILY REGULATED

- Affiliate transactions are regulated by many states and (for registered holding companies) the SEC.
- FERC's Code of Conduct requires separation of utility and non-utility operations.
 - The utility and its affiliate must operate separately.
 - The utility and its affiliates must exchange market information only pursuant to EBB-type postings.
 - The utility sales of non-power goods and services to an affiliate must be at the higher of cost or market.



- The affiliate sales of non-power goods and services to the utility must be priced no higher than market.
- Affiliate power sales transactions are closely regulated.
- If an affiliate brokers utility energy (or vice versa) the utility's power must be offered first.
- Many state utility commissions impose similar restrictions on affiliate relationships.





- FERC has refused to explain the Code of Conduct or evaluate procedures designed to implement it.
- FERC's procedures can adversely affect utility/affiliate risk management practices, increasing financial risk.
 - Exchanges of market information can be unreasonably restricted.
 - Coordinated credit risk and market risk activities can be impaired.





- FERC rules on affiliate transactions have conflicted with SEC rules for years.
 - SEC requires affiliate transactions to be priced at cost.
 - In combination with FERC rules, affiliate transactions are at the lower of cost or market.
- State have imposed rules on affiliate wholesale power sales that exceed their jursidiction.



FERC'S PROPOSAL TO REGULATE UTILITY CASH MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IS UNNECESSARY

- FERC proposed to prohibit utility participation in cash management arrangements unless:
 - the utility has a minimum proprietary capital balance of 30%;
 - the utility and its parent have investment-grade credit ratings.
- FERC's proposed rules conflict with (or at least replicate) the rules applicable to registered public utility holding companies.
- The proposal also conflicts with or replicates many state utility commission requirements.





- FERC hasn't established a persuasive legal or factual basis for its proposal.
- EEI recommended exemptions for utilities whose LMPs are regulated by the SEC or state commissions.
- EEI recommended liberalization of the capitalization requirement and the investment grade requirement.



HOW CAN UTILITIES BE PROTECTED FROM AFFILIATE OPERATIONS?

- There is no substitute for good utility management. Good management can be a result of a competitive, unregulated business.
- Commissions can provide oversight, but they cannot micro-manage utilities or their parent companies and affiliates.
- Capped rates or rate freezes frequently have been the quid pro quo for restructuring and managed competition.



HOW CAN UTILITIES BE PROTECTED FROM AFFILIATE OPERATIONS? continued

- FERC or State Commissions may want to establish guidelines on utilities lending money to affiliates and establish a rebuttable presumption that compliance with the guidelines is prudent.
- Traditional "imprudence" disallowances can protect ratepayers from bad management decisions.
- Commissions can adjust ROE allowances to offset increased costs related to depressed credit ratings.

