Turnaround/Insolvency Issues in Electric Sector: International Experience and Its Lessons for Brazil

IBGT Conference Sao Paulo, Brazil October 22, 2004

Ashley Brown

Executive Director, Harvard Electricity Policy Group John F. Kennedy School of Government Harvard University

Of Counsel, LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene and MacRae, LLP

Policy Perspective

Two Fundamental Approaches to Insolvency in Energy Sector

1. Governmental Approach

- Nationalization
- Financial Package (Loans or Grants)
- Direct Subsidies

2. Commercial Approach

- Management Changes
- Bankruptcy
- Regulation

Transition

Will Brazil Move from Governmental Approach to Commercial Approach?

What Instructive Lessons Can Be Learned from International Experience?

Two Separate Contexts

- Competitive Enterprises (e.g., Generators, Suppliers)
- Fully Regulated Enterprises (e.g., Distribution or Transmission Monopolies)

Interests to Be Balanced in Energy Company Insolvency

Competitive Context

Creditors vs. Shareholders

- Two Competing Commercial Interests + Public Interest Considerations
- Fully Regulated/Monopoly Context

Creditors vs. Shareholders vs. Consumers

 Two Competing Commercial Interests + Consumer Interests + Public Interest Considerations

Creditors and Shareholders: Common Interests/Conflicting Claims and Priorities

- Internalized Economic/Financial Perspective
 - Maximizing Debt Recovery/Value Retention
 - Control of and/or Access to Revenue Stream
 - Maximizing Revenue Stream
 - Cost/Liability Minimization

Public Interest Considerations

For Generators

- 1. Must Run Units
- 2. Capacity Planning/Reliability Obligations
- 3. Plant Retirement/Environmental Considerations
- 4. Efficient Deployment of Society's Assets (Externalized Perspective)
- 5. Maintenance of Competition

Public Interest Considerations

For Suppliers

- 1. Customer Service Obligations
- 2. Default Supply/Arbitrage Issues
- 3. Consumer Protection (e.g., Fraud, Default)
- 4. Maintenance of Competition

Corporate Governance/Management Considerations

- Management Subject to Shareholder Control
- Debt Covenants/Creditor Requirements
- Judicial Intervention

Shareholder/Creditor/Public Interests

- Same Interests As in Competitive Context
- Same Issues As in Competitive Context

PLUS

- Consumer Interests
 - Preservation of Consumer Paid Value for Consumers
 - Reliable Sustainable Quality Service
 - Reasonable Prices? Avoiding Monopoly Rents
 - Capital Structure (Debt-Equity Ratio)
 - Meaningful, Balanced and Appropriate Incentives

Role of Regulator

- More Externalized Perspective
 - Balancing Investor (Shareholder and Creditor) Interest and Consumer Interest
 - Focus on Protection of Consumer Interest
 - Protect Public Interest

Areas for Potential Conflict

- Tariffs
 - Who Sets Them and for What Objectives?
 - Creditors and Shareholders Seek Maximization of Revenues
 - Consumers Want Protection Against Monopoly Power
- Contractual Issues
 - Debt Covenants
 - Achieving Compliance Through Performance or Tariff Manipulation

Areas for Potential Conflict, continued

- Accounting Issues
 - Financial Accounting May Differ from Regulatory Accounting
 - Cost Deferrals vs. Recovery vs. Normalization
- Service Quality
 - Creditors and Shareholders Will Seek to Minimize Costs
 - Consumers Want Quality Service (i.e., Maintenance and Investment)

Areas for Potential Conflict, continued

- Capital Structure
 - Insolvency Will Reduce Equity Component
 - Regulators May Want Higher Equity Component
- Management Issues
 - Creditors May Want More Control of Management
 - Regulators May Impose Management/Financial Restrictions
 - Regulators May Appoint Receivers or Intervenors

Areas for Potential Conflict, continued

- Jurisdictional Issues
 - Regulators vs. Bankruptcy Courts